UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 1:11-cr-00299
v.)	Honorable Paul L. Maloney
)	
TONY FRANK DISLA-SANTIAGO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on May 8, 2012, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Tony Frank Disla-Santiago entered a plea of guilty to Count Two of the Second Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count Two of the Second Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with possession with intent to distribute, and aiding and abetting others to possess with the intent to distribute, 1000 kg or more of marijuana, a Schedule I controlled substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 (a) (1) and 841 (b) (1) (A) (vii) and 18 U.S.C. § 2. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea

agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law;

and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count Two of the Second

Superseding Indictments be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written

plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically

reserved for the district judge.

Date: May 11, 2012

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than 14 days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).